

## Feedback from the Public Consultation 15 August 2016 – 25 September 2016 on the Parish Plan

| Feedback Received From:  | Feedback:  | Parish Council Response:   |
|--|--|--|
| Councillor Abercrombie on behalf of residents in Podmore. (Copied as received) | <p>Could you please kindly raise the following point that have been given to me by the residents of Podmore to highlight about the parish plan.</p> <p>1. They are somewhat taken back by the fact the plan did not take into account the hamlets needs or requirements and only spoke about the village. Very disappointed by this.</p>   | <p>The first part of the Parish Plan relates to all of Hartlebury. No one hamlet is mentioned by name: they are all implicit in the term 'Parish'.</p> <p>The second part of the Plan is specifically a 'Village' Design Statement and relates to maintaining the nature, character and visual aspect of the village.</p>  |
|  | <p>2. The percentages noted in the plan are very incorrect as they appear to be based on what the reply was from the questionnaire. And as such does not represent the whole of the parish. It needs to be made clear that this is where the figures came from and its not the whole parish thoughts or feelings.</p>  | <p>At the July 2016 Parish Council meeting we agreed that we would use the questionnaire carried out by the previous council. This community survey questionnaire had been posted to all households in Hartlebury and had received a 34% response rate; which was considered good. This is outlined on page 19 of the Plan. Where percentages are quoted it specifically says 'of respondents' and in graphs used the number of respondents is illustrated. Only one caption failed to state 'of respondents'. This was an oversight which has been corrected.</p>   |
|  | <p>3. The hamlets points of views have been left out and no thought about there needs. Ie the speed of traffic on the Droitwich rd was agreed to be checked yet this was missed off and all it stated again was about the village. The feeling is that the parish council have only focused on the village and nothing else, what would happen if all the hamlets refused to pay towards the parish??? The parish would then have to sit up and look at the hamlets needs and wishes then.</p> | <p>Extensive consultation was carried out in compiling the Parish Plan. It was not the intention to leave out any point of view: quite the reverse. All stakeholders had an opportunity to attend a range of consultation events. The events were well publicised. Councillors offered personal invitations to many stakeholders who had never attended such an event before and actively encouraged residents to attend. Some stakeholders who could not attend the event were even given a personal interview to ensure that their views were heard.</p> <p>Whilst the issue of the traffic on the Droitwich road did not emerge through the consultation process the Council recognises that this is an issue for the residents of Podmore and can be addressed by changing the wording of Strategic Aim 1 to : 'Reduce speeding and flow of heavy traffic around the <b>parish</b>.'</p> |
|  | <p>This is the general feel from Podmore and we would like to see the parish plan changed to take into account the various hamlets needs and requirements rather than just the village. We would like to see some funding for thd hamlets to improve them in the new development rather than the village all the time. Ie</p>  | <p>The Council consider that Strategic Aim 3 (SA.3) 'Improve and increase <b>parish</b> amenities' and Strategic Aim 4 (SA.4) 'Improve the image, presentation and general cleanliness of the <b>parish</b>' adequately covers the needs as identified by the residents of Podmore but in response to this feedback will increase the priority to 'medium'. When this strategic aim is addressed it will be incumbent upon Parish Councillors to identify</p>  |

|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
|   | <p>new flower beds, better signs into hamlets, gates to show where we start and stop are just a few ideas we would taken on board please.</p>   | <p>specific hamlets' needs.</p>   |
| <p>Reiss Sadler – Planning Policy<br/>WDC</p> | <p>No need for an end or review date for the Parish Plan – an update or a review should be done as and when one is required.</p> <p>Once finalised, Wychavon will adopt the Parish Plan and Village Design Statement as a 'Local Information Source', meaning it will offer advice to officers and be a material consideration in the determination of planning and other applications, although will ultimately carry little weight.</p> <p>Para 4.1 – As stated above, Parish Plan and Village Design Statement will only offer limited weight in planning decisions once adopted as a Local Information Source.</p> <p>Page 29 – Lack of consultation response on economic development is surprising, particularly with Hartlebury Trading Estate in mind.</p> <p>SA.5, Objective 1 “Control future in-fill development in line with the Village Design Statement and actively oppose new large developments and any development</p> | <p>In response to this feedback the date has been removed. It was initially included as current Councillors were keen to have a defined period to tackle the Strategic Aims i.e. within their period of office. It should therefore have an identified review cycle within the Parish Council year. The notion of an annual review will be inserted in the introduction.</p> <p>The Parish Council understands this. The introduction has been amended to reflect this feedback.</p> <p>As above</p> <p>The Parish Council had not considered this aspect and have now responded accordingly changing wording in the plan to 'surprising'.</p> <p>This is understood.</p> |

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  | <p>on Green Belt” Current planning policy supports development within the defined development boundary (SWDP2) providing other policies in the SWDP are met (SWDP21 Design for example). The Village Design Statement will become a material consideration once adopted by Wychavon as a Local Information Source but will only carry limited weight, so this objective is tough to enforce in reality.</p> <p>Other than sites allocated in the SWDP and Rural Exception Sites (SWDP16), with a robust 5YHLS in place, large scale residential proposals outside of the development boundary will not be supported. This is subject to change should a review of the SWDP be required or if the 5YHLS position is no longer a positive one.</p> <p>National Planning Policy does not totally preclude all development on Green Belt land; exceptions do exist such as buildings for agriculture (NPPF Paragraph’s 79-92).</p> | <p>This is appreciated.</p> <p>The Parish Council understands that not all development on Green Belt is precluded.</p>   |
| <p>Jem Teal – Community Development WDC</p>        | <p>Pleased to see that the Parish Council has looked at sporting needs and that they figure so highly.</p>   | <p>This feedback supports the current planned consultation on developing the tennis court/football pitch and surrounding village green area and should also support funding applications in the future.</p>  |
| <p>Emma Wild – Strategy and Communications WDC</p> | <p>SA.3 Objective 1 “Investigate the possibility of a medical centre in the parish” Not sure that this is something Wychavon could directly help with. Include NHS on External Agencies list?</p>  | <p>NHS has now been included on list of external agencies. Wychavon has been removed.</p> <p>In response to this feedback the identified objective has been changed to: ‘Improve sporting facilities in particular the tennis courts; football</p> |

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
|  | <p>SA.3 Objective 4 “Improve sporting facilities”<br/>Objective should be more specific.</p> <p>SA.5 Objective 3 “Encourage a village coffee shop initiative with appropriate support for a planning application” - Suggest that there needs to be another agency listed as Wychavon can advise and support but I think it is unlikely we would start one up.</p> | <p>pitch and surrounding Village Green area’.</p> <p>The intention in mentioning Wychavon is solely from the point of view of advice and support. It is not envisaged that Wychavon would be the agency to start the coffee shop. It is hoped that this initiative would be started from within the parish without the need for any other external agency involvement.</p> |
| <p>Peter Tomlinson – County Councillor</p> | <p>Your draft Parish Plan looks really excellent to me and congratulations on the illustrations which bring such a work alive. I really don’t think I can add or even subtract anything from the statement you have produced. Many thanks, I have learned more about Hartlebury from your work than from anywhere else.</p>                                       | <p>The Parish Council thanks Councillor Tomlinson for these comments.</p>  |